

Succinct overview of application re terrorism in the QLD Supreme Court

My initiative at <https://www.irff.online/> has me *prima facie / ipso facto* classified as a terrorist by the QLD Commissioner of Police.

This is based on the definition of the QLD Commissioner of Police made in public and on TV that people who can be regarded as; -

1. a *premillennialist*
2. a *person that distrusts government*
3. a *conspiracy theorist*
4. an *antivaxxer*
5. a *sovereign citizen* (an oxymoron); -

The QLD Commissioner of Police also publicly called on the public to report such persons to the police and National Intelligence to be placed on the Domestic Terrorist Watch List.

As a result, I am placed in the position where have little or no option but to bring the following *ex parte* and *in-camera* application in person¹ and in the public interest² to the QLD Supreme Court under the Human Rights Act of 2019, for an order in the following terms: -

1. **To determine (*in limine*)** whether the court and presiding officers/s constitute an independent and impartial forum as required by section 31³ of the Human Rights Act 2019 and therefore does not constitute *partisan territory*;
2. Declaring what constitutes a terrorist, international, domestic or otherwise;
 - a. Should applicant or his class not classify as terrorist, his/their right/s to a protection order⁴ against any organ of state or other person juristic or otherwise may be issued by any court under the guidelines of this Honourable Court's order;
3. Declaring what constitutes hate speech, vilification, victimization, unfair discrimination, criminalisation, persecution, harassment etc;
4. Declaring what constitutes a radicalised individual,
5. Such other order or relief as the honourable Court deems fit: -

The Research and development conducted so far for the following application is detailed at. https://actiopopularis.weebly.com/uploads/1/0/5/6/105620057/r_d_decl_of_rrghts1.docx

The urgency of the matter is embodied in the subsequent alleged suicide of a peaceful political researcher detailed at <https://cairnsnews.org/2023/03/21/queensland-cops-have-some-explaining-to-do-over-death-of-steven-harrison/>

Calls for intervention

1. Is there any chance that you or your organization would wish to collaborate (*ex-gratia / pro deo*) towards a more properly and competently framed application in the accepted format and terms, as would be appropriate in an Australian Supreme Court?
2. Would you wish to make confirmatory, supporting or *amicus* representation of any kind?

¹ As an indigent person seeing that I cannot afford legal counsel and without engaging Legal Aid due to issues relating to the order sought in 1) b) i) above

² In the context of *Actio Popularis*

³ 31 Fair hearing (1) A person charged with a criminal offence or a party to a civil proceeding has the right to have the charge or proceeding decided by a competent, independent and impartial court or tribunal.

⁴ Either as a declaration of rights or as an interim order with or without a provisional warrant for contempt.